IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1269 OF 2000

Shri Deenanath N. Patni..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors..Respondents

None present for the Petitioner
Mr. Milind More, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATE: 27TH NOVEMBER,2006

P.C.

. None present for the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner's vehicle was seized as it did not have the necessary PUC certificate. The grievance of the petitioner is that though he was willing to pay a sum of Rs.1,000/- the same has not been accepted by the respondents.

2. If the vehicle is still in the custody of the respondents it will be open to the petitioner to move the respondents for release of the vehicle by paying the necessary fine and if such an application is made the respondents to dispose of the same according to law at any rate not later than four months from the date of the application. With the above observation petition stands disposed of.

(**F.I. REBELLO, J)**

(**ANOOP V. MOHTA J).**